

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,692	COLOTTE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles G. Freay	3746	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Charles G. Freay.

(3) _____.

 (2) Chris Bullard (Reg. No. 57644).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2007
Time: 10:00 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

US patents 6,655,125 and 5,960,626

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner and the applicant's representative agreed that if the claims were amended to make clear that each lock was actuated by signals coming simultaneously from each of the electronic control units then this would define over the noted prior art. It was also agreed that the FADEC should be amended to recite two channels for purposes of antecedent basis. The applicant's representative provided a listing of the claims with the agreed to amendments which will be entered by examiner's Amendment..



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1840 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
USA

(703) 413-3000
(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

FACSIMILE

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

TO	Charles Freay	5/29/07
	NAME	DATE
	U.S.P.T.O.	(571) 273-4827
	COMPANY/FIRM	FAX #
	NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 3	CONFIRM FAX: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
FROM	Christopher Bullard	244422US
	NAME	OUR REFERENCE
	(703) 412-6396	10/691,692
	DIRECT PHONE #	YOUR REFERENCE

MESSAGE

Please see attached set of claims. Claim 1 reflects the changes we discussed on Friday, May 25, 2007. The claim set also includes new Claim 6. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

Claim 1 (Examiner's Amendment): A turbojet thrust reverser, comprising:

- two doors displaceable between a reverser open position and a reverser closed position, each door being controlled by a respective electronic control unit connected to a full authority digital engine controller having two channels; and

- two locking devices each enabling the position of the door associated therewith to be locked, each locking device being ~~capable of being~~ actuated solely ~~[[on]]~~ upon simultaneously receiving a first and a second signal, orders the first signal coming simultaneously from both the respective electronic control units unit and the second signal coming from the other electronic control unit.

Claim 2 (Original): A thrust reverser according to claim 1, wherein each electronic control unit includes an electrical power supply unit connected to the locking device of the door with which it is associated via a switch, and a synchronizing unit controlling opening and closing of the switch associated with the locking device of the other door.

Claim 3 (Original): A thrust reverser according to claim 2, wherein each locking device locks the door with which it is associated in position when it is not powered electrically, and unlocks said door when simultaneously the electrical power supply unit of the electronic control unit controlling said door delivers an electrical voltage and the synchronizing unit of the electronic control unit controlling the other door causes the switch associated with said locking device to conduct.

Claim 4 (Original): A thrust reverser according to claim 3, wherein each synchronizing unit is connected to both channels of said full authority digital engine controller.

Claim 5 (Original): A thrust reverser according to claim 1, wherein each door is associated with an abutment locking device controlled from the cockpit of the airplane on which said turbojet is designed to be mounted.

Claim 6 (New): A turbojet, comprising a thrust reverser according to claim 1.